
Keypad: Auditing Encrypted Filesystem for Theft-prone Devices

Roxana Geambasu John P. John Steve Gribble Yoshi Kohno Hank Levy

University of Washington

The Move to Small, Powerful, Mobile Devices

- Small, powerful mobile devices are replacing desktops
- Mobile devices bring important advantages:
 - □ Location-based services, mobile web
 - □ Constant connectivity, data access, email




The Problem with Mobile Devices

- Mobile devices are prone to theft and loss
 500K laptops per year are lost in US airports [Ponemon Institute '09]
- Mobile device theft/loss exposes sensitive data
 SSNs, financial data, health data, trade secrets, state secrets, ...

CNN	Politics						
VA will pay \$20 million to settle lawsuit over stolen laptop's data							
January 27, 2009 By Terry Frieden CNN Justice Producer							
The names, dates of birth and Social Security numbers of about							
26.5 million active duty troops and veterans were on the laptop and							
external drive On June 29, 2006, the FBI announced the stolen laptop had							
Affairs data a been recovered and that it appeared no one had accessed							
	the personal data. The FBI said it believes the laptop was						
	taken in a routine burglary.						

Is Encryption Sufficient?

- Encrypting files on a mobile device increases security
 E.g.: BitLocker, PGP Whole Disk Encryption, TrueCrypt, ...
- But is encryption enough?



Problems with Encryption


- Problem 1: Encryption <u>can</u> and <u>does</u> fail
 - Security and usability are at odds
 - "Johnny can't encrypt" [Whitten, Tygar '99]
 - Users set guessable passwords, reuse them [Gaw, Felten '05], [Imperva '10]
 - Users leave smartcards inside laptops [Caveo '03]
 - Hardware attacks are possible
 - Cold-boot attacks [Halderman, Schoen, Heninger, et.al. '08]
 - TPM attacks [Anderson, Kuhn '96]

Problem 2: When encryption fails, it fails silently

□ User cannot know whether or not the data was compromised


Our Goals

- After a device is stolen or lost, we want to:
 - □ know whether or not the data was compromised
 - know exactly what data was compromised
 - prohibit future compromises once the user detects theft




- We want strong auditing guarantees:
 - Even if thief turns off network (unlike Apple MobileMe, Intel AT)
 - Even if thief tampers with the device
 - Without impacting usability

- Provides fine-grained remote access auditing and control
- Core idea: Force remote access auditing with encryption
 - Encrypt each file with its own random key
 - □ Store the keys on a remote server, which logs all accesses




- Provides fine-grained remote access auditing and control
- Core idea: Force remote access auditing with encryption
 - Encrypt each file with its own random key
 - □ Store the keys on a remote server, which logs all accesses




Any compromise leaves a <u>forensic trail</u> on the server.


- Provides fine-grained remote access auditing and control
- Core idea: Force remote access auditing with encryption
 - Encrypt each file with its own random key
 - Store the keys on a remote server, which logs all accesses



- Provides fine-grained remote access auditing and control
- Core idea: Force remote access auditing with encryption
 Encrypt each file with its own random key
 - Store the keys on a remote server, which logs all accesses



Keypad's Architecture



file **F**'s internal header (**ID**_F is a long, random number)
 file **F**'s contents, encrypted with symmetric key **L**_F

Huge Practical Challenges


- Challenge 1: Performance over mobile networks
 Mobile networks have huge RTTs (e.g., 300ms for 3G)
- Challenge 2: Disconnected data access
 Disconnection is rare (WiFi, 3G, 4G), but it happens

- Keypad's design includes novel techniques to address challenges while preserving strong auditing semantics
 - Short-term key caching
 - Localized key prefetching
 - Key preallocation
 - Key derivation

- □ Limited scope/granularity
- □ IBE-based filename registrations

Device pairing


Challenge 1: Performance Over Mobile Networks



- 1. Optimizing key requests:
 - Standard techniques: key caching, prefetching, preallocation, ...
 - 2 order of magnitude improvement (compilation now takes 8 min)
- 2. Optimizing filename registrations:
 - After key optimizations, 56% of the time goes to registrations!
 - Next: optimizing filename registrations with strong semantics 13


Name Registrations: Semantics/Performance Tradeoff

 Strong semantics requires up-to-date filenames on the server for any compromised file ID




Two Options for Filename Registrations

Blocking registrations



Non-blocking registrations




How to Have Your Cake and Eat It Too

Our Idea:

- Do non-blocking registration
- But if it fails, force the thief to <u>reveal the filename</u> in order to access the file!

The Challenge:

- How do we force the thief to tell us the filename?
 - □ Thief might lie to mislead user
 - E.g., declare /tmp/download instead of /home/ccard.txt




Good performance

One Solution: Identity-based Encryption (IBE)

- We develop a protocol for both efficient and secure filename registrations that relies on IBE
- IBE background ^[Boneh, Franklin '01]:
 - □ A client can encrypt data using any string as the public key
 - □ A designated server can produce a private key for any public key
 - To decrypt, client must provide public key to get private key
- Our protocol uses the filename as the public key

IBE-Based Filename Registrations (Intuition)

Wrap encrypted L_F with IBE using filename as the public key*
 Only the audit server can compute the private IBE key



Thief must provide the true filename to server to obtain L_F!
 Lying about the filename prevents file access

For performance, we cache L_F in memory for one second
 Normally, user workloads will not block waiting for private key

* A nonce is also included in the IBE public key for security.

Summary of Filename Registration Protocol


- Our protocol enables both efficient (non-blocking) filename registrations and strong semantics
- Idea: Force the thief to reveal the true name of a file in order to access it
- We use IBE in a unique way:
 - □ It is typically used for confidentiality
 - □ We use it for auditing

Keypad Implementation

We built the Keypad file system on Linux

- We augment EncFS with auditing and remote control
- The audit server runs on Google's AppEngine
- I used Keypad for several weeks with 3G emulated latencies
 Overall experience was positive Keypad absorbs most latency
- We measured Keypad with many workloads and metrics
 Microbenchmarks, Andrew benchmark, popular applications

IBE's Performance Impact




So, Is Keypad Practical?

	Task	Time (seconds)		
Application		Baseline (EncFS)	Keypad	
Application			WiFi	3G
OpenOffice	Launch	0.5	0.6	4.6
Word	Save as	1.4	1.4	2.0
Processor	Open	1.7	1.8	2.1
	Launch	3.7	3.8	8.8
Firefox	Save a page	0.7	0.7	1.3
	Open tab	0.2	0.2	0.2
	Launch	1.3	1.3	3.1
Thunderbird	Read email	0.3	0.4	1.9
	Quit	0.2	0.2	0.2
Evines BDE	Launch	0.1	0.1	0.1
Evince PDF Viewer	Open document	0.1	0.1	0.4
VIEWEI	Quit	0.0	0.0	0.0


Challenge 2: Audited Disconnected Access

- Keypad's design relies on network connectivity for auditing!
- Our observation: today's users carry multiple devices
 E.g.: laptop, phone, iPad, Kindle
- Paired-device Keypad extension uses one device to enable audited disconnected access on another device



Paired-Device Implementation

- We modified Keypad to support device pairing
 Simple Python daemon runs on an Android Nexus One phone
- Bonus: device pairing can improve 3G/4G performance ③
 - Bluetooth is one order of magnitude faster than 3G
 - We designed strong-semantics performance improvements
 - □ 44% improvement on 3G over the results we have seen before



Summary

- Traditional encryption systems fail silently
- Keypad enhances encrypted file systems with:
 Fine-grained file access auditing after theft
 Remote access control even in the absence of network
- Our use of cryptography is unique
 Auditing instead of confidentiality